

High flux diffractometers on reactor neutron sources

Alan W. Hewat*

Institut Laue-Langevin, B.P. 156X Grenoble Cedex 9, France

Abstract

Continuous neutron sources such as reactors can deliver a very high time-averaged flux to the sample using a relatively wide band of wavelengths, while still retaining good resolution. For example, the D20 diffractometer at ILL Grenoble, the world's highest flux neutron powder machine, can collect complete patterns at 100 ms intervals, and this has been important for the real time study of explosive SHS reactions. New very large 2D detectors, such as those recently installed on D2B and D19 at ILL, are up to an order of magnitude larger than previous designs, and will provide unmatched speed of data collection from very small samples, opening up new scientific perspectives for powder and single crystal diffraction. We will discuss future reactor based diffractometers designed for rapid data collection from small samples in special environments.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Dracula; Large 2D detectors; Fast diffractometers

1. Simple measures of diffractometer performance

Jorgensen et al. [1] concluded that because neutrons are scattered isotropically, the relative merit of a powder diffractometer with a given resolution can be estimated from the product of just three parameters—the time averaged flux on the sample, the solid angle of the detector and of course the volume of the sample, which may be limited by resolution and other requirements.

This product rule appears to be supported by the current best high flux diffractometers, GEM on the ISIS pulsed source and D20 on the ILL reactor, which are roughly of equal merit, even though the time-averaged flux on D20 from the ILL reactor is more than an order of magnitude greater than on GEM (Table 1 in $\text{n cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$).

The pulsed source machine GEM is unique in that its extremely large detector, which compensates for the relatively low flux on the sample, is not restricted to high resolution backscattering, but covers all scattering angles, with however correspondingly lower resolution.

2. High flux on the sample combined with big detectors

A recipe for a high flux neutron diffractometer is then:

- a wide band of wavelengths,
- a very high flux on the sample,
- a very large area detector.

Quasi-Laue image plate detectors [2], such as LADI and VIVALDI at ILL and the similar machine at the Bragg Institute, are excellent examples of these principles; a white beam of neutrons is used, as on a TOF instrument, but this beam is continuous, so the time-averaged flux on the sample is normally much higher than with a pulsed beam from a spallation source. Neutron image plates allow a very large solid angle for the detector, and the sample volume can also be rather large, depending on the detector radius Figs. 1 and 2.

“Niimura special” image plate detectors can have an efficiency of 20% for thermal neutrons, but a neutron CCD camera such as the new Orient Express machine developed at ILL by Ouladdiaf [3], can have higher efficiency and also provide real-time read-out of the diffraction pattern. Orient Express consists of a pair of relatively small CCD detectors (Photonic Science) similar to those developed for

*Tel.: +33 476 20 7213; fax: +33 476 20 7648.

E-mail address: hewat@ill.fr.

Table 1
The product of flux.angle.volume as a measure of efficiency

	D20	GEM	Dracula	SNS
Time averaged flux	5×10^7	2×10^6	10^8	2.5×10^7
Solid angle (sr)	0.27	4.0	1.5	3.0
Sample volume	1	1	1	1
Merit product	18	8	150	75




Fig. 1. VIVALDI combines high flux on the sample using a continuous white beam with a very large image-plate detector.




Fig. 2. The image-plate detector and high flux on the sample allow surveys of reciprocal space, e.g. this quasi-crystal on LADI.

X-rays, but with a gadolinium oxide neutron converter. Orient Express is located at a low flux position behind other machines on a long neutron guide, but is still so fast




Fig. 3. Diffraction pattern from a small ruby crystal obtained on Orient Express in 10 s, instead of 10 min with film.





Fig. 4. Schematic illustration of: (a) CYCLOPS, a proposed new cylindrical CCD laue optics photo-scintillator neutron camera on (b) a focussing thermal super-mirror guide (SwissNeutronics).

that diffraction patterns that previously took minutes with photographic film can now be obtained in seconds Fig. 3.

CYCLOPS, a new proposal, would use a double octagonal array of neutron CCD detectors to cover a cylinder similar in size to VIVALDI, but with higher efficiency and real-time read-out. Compared to SXD at ISIS, CYCLOPS would have a much higher neutron flux at




Fig. 5. The complete refined diffraction pattern obtained from $\text{Na}_2\text{Ca}_3\text{Al}_2\text{F}_{14}$ in only 2 min on D20 in high-resolution mode.

the sample due to the continuous neutron source and focusing guide Fig. 4, but would have higher background.

3. Big detectors with monochromatic neutron beams

Electronic detectors with monochromatic beams avoid background problems at the expense of reduced intensity, yet even then, the time-averaged flux on the sample from a continuous source can, according to Table 1, be an order of magnitude greater than from a pulsed source. The challenge is to construct a large detector with real-time readout to match the big area detectors commonly used for TOF.

The first such large position sensitive detector (PSD) at ILL was the D20 microstrip detector [4], which is currently the world's fastest powder diffractometer. Yet the D20 detector still covers only 0.27 steradian (Table 1), and owes its speed to the high flux delivered to the sample using large vertically focusing monochromators (300 mm high).

With the option of using 120° take-off angles, the resolution of D20 approaches that of D1A, except that D20 is very much faster. Fig. 5 shows the diffraction pattern obtained from $\text{Na}_2\text{Ca}_3\text{Al}_2\text{F}_{14}$ in only 2 min!

The very high intensity and rapid read-out of the D20 PSD can be used for fast chemical reactions, such as the explosive SHS reaction forming the new ceramic Ti_3SiC_2 studied in time slices of 100 ms by Riley, Kisi et al. [5] Fig. 6.

Large 2D position sensitive detectors can also be used for high-resolution powder diffractometers such as D2B at ILL. The super-D2B Millennium project [6] resulted in a $\times 6$ gain in efficiency with almost no loss of resolution; indeed the higher intensity now allows higher resolution to be used for most experiments.

Super-D2B uses an array of 128 commercial linear wire detectors behind an array of 5' collimators. The detectors and collimators are 300 mm high, so the curvature of the powder diffraction cones is clearly visible at low and high angles (Fig. 7).

The new super-D2B still covers a relatively small solid angle because of the use of fine collimators. For lower



Fig. 6. The explosive SHS reaction in Ti_3SiC_2 on D20. The intermediate phase (bright spot) lasts only ~ 1 s.




Fig. 7. A 2D detector array is needed to correct for the curvature of the diffraction cones on the new super-D2B.




Fig. 8. A very large 2D PSD for D19 showing arrays of linear sensitive wires in front of electrodes deposited on glass (inset).

resolution machines it becomes attractive to use a true position sensitive detector, as on D20, but covering a much larger solid angle with 2D resolution.

Such a detector has already been constructed for the D19 Millennium project [7]. Again it consists of linear position sensitive wires defining the vertical resolution, but now all of the wires, together with electrodes deposited on glass plates, are within a single high pressure He [3] gas envelope (Guerard [8], this conference) Fig. 8.

4. Dracula, a very high flux neutron diffractometer

Dracula will be a new diffractometer for rapid acquisition over ultra large angles, and a natural extension of the ideas already tested on D2B, D19 and D20. It is the next step in the application of the flux \times solid angle principle, and will result in a machine where the distinction between “powder” and “single crystal” disappears.

As for D2B and D20, large focusing monochromators will be used, with even larger mosaic spread. Focusing in real space and in reciprocal space means that a wide band of wavelengths $\Delta\lambda/\lambda$ can be used (Fig. 9). When this beam is scattered by the sample back into the direction of the beam from the source, all of the wavelengths enter the detector with the same incident angle; this means that the width of the Bragg peaks depends only on the size of the sample and detector elements, and the divergence of the beam from the reactor.

Since very small samples (diameter d) would normally be used, and since a choice of Soller collimators can fix the horizontal divergence from the reactor, high-resolution $\Delta d/d \sim 10^{-3}$ would be obtained even with a wavelength spread of $\sim 1\%$. For angles near 90° with a radial collimator, scattering would be limited to a small volume of diameter D (Fig. 10).

A choice of fixed monochromator take-off angles would be available, probably 44° , 60° , 90° and 120° . The standard take-off would be 90° , but a low angle take-off to provide very high flux from a graphite monochromator would also be available, together with a high take-off of 120° for very




Fig. 9. Focusing of a large band of wavelengths still permits high resolution at high monochromator take-off angles.




Fig. 10. For angles near 90° with a radial collimator, scattering would be limited to a small volume of diameter D .

Table 2

The large range of d -spacings available within the limited scattering range $2\Theta = 60\text{--}120^\circ$ using just three wavelengths from a focusing $\text{Ge}[hhl]$ monochromator

$[1\ 1\ 5] \rightarrow 1.54\ \text{\AA}$	$d = 0.889\text{--}1.54\ \text{\AA}$
$[1\ 1\ 3] \rightarrow 2.44\ \text{\AA}$ (Graphite filter)	$d = 1.39\text{--}2.44\ \text{\AA}$
$[1\ 1\ 1] \rightarrow 4.61\ \text{\AA}$ (Beryllium filter)	$d = 2.66\text{--}4.61\ \text{\AA}$

high resolution. The main monochromators would be germanium $[hhl]$ giving, for a take-off angle of 90° , a choice of wavelengths and a range of d -spacings (Table 2) for scattering within the restricted range $2\Theta = 60\text{--}120^\circ$.

Even if the scattering range is limited to $2\Theta = 60\text{--}120^\circ$, which is attractive for reducing scattering from the sample environment with a radial collimator, a large range of d -spacings could be covered with just three different wavelengths, which would be obtained by simply rotating the $\text{Ge}[hhl]$ monochromator about its vertical axis as on D1A/D2B. The most useful wavelength of $1.54\ \text{\AA}$ would not require any filter, while a graphite filter would be used for $2.44\ \text{\AA}$ and a beryllium filter for $4.61\ \text{\AA}$ neutrons.

5. The Dracula sample environment

Because of its very high flux, Dracula will be particularly powerful for measuring very small samples, as in high-pressure cells. A new 100 Kbar Paris–Edinburgh cell design, recently developed for single-crystal studies at ISIS, has been adapted for ILL to fit within a special cryostat (N. Kernavainois). This new pressure cell will be particularly




Fig. 11. The Dracula radial collimator will cover a very large solid angle ($160^\circ \times 30^\circ$ vertical) yet restrict scattering to a very small volume of diameter ~ 5 mm around the sample. It will be similar to the new D20 radial collimator above (EuroCollimators, 2005).



Fig. 12. The new 100 Kbar pressure cell with its He cryostat.

suiting to Dracula, with its high flux for small samples, and radial collimator to eliminate background scattering from the sample environment Figs. 11,12.

One of the problems with the present ILL diffractometers D2B and D19 is that they are situated under the reactor transfer channel, which limits the height of the sample environment. Dracula will not be limited in this way, and will allow even large cryostats to be used, such as our 15 T magnet, the new 7 T diffraction group cryo-magnet, and cryostats equipped with dilution refrigerator inserts.

Very high temperatures can already be obtained with mirror furnaces and microwave furnaces, and will also be available on Dracula.

6. The Dracula detector

This is the key element, and will provide Dracula with a detector x4 times as large as that of D20, which is already the fastest detector on a fixed-wavelength neutron powder diffractometer. Together with the extra flux on the sample, Dracula will then be an order of magnitude faster than D20 at the cost of slightly less resolution than available in D20's

high-resolution mode. Indeed, the solid angle of the Dracula detector will reach 50% of that of the fastest TOF machines, which can also measure at negative scattering angles without loss of resolution since monochromator focussing is not needed. The big difference will remain the very much higher flux on the sample due to the relatively wide wavelength band.

The Dracula detector will be almost identical to the new D19 detector, except that extra modules will extend coverage to 160° , like D20 instead of 120° as on D19. The vertical acceptance angle will remain at 30° . The principle of using a large 2D detector for powders has already been proven on super-D2B, where it was shown that the diffraction cones could be integrated around their curved sections without substantial loss of resolution.

With a horizontal definition of at least 2.5 mm, the Dracula detector will be resolution-matched to samples as small as 2.5 mm diameter. For even better definition of the powder profile, it will be possible to fine-step the Dracula detector on its tanzboden floor, as on D2B.

The complete neutron pattern in Fig. 5, which was obtained in just 2 min on D20 can be refined to give a high precision structure, as indicated by the red obs–calc difference pattern in the profile plot. On Dracula we might expect to obtain similar results in a matter of seconds, or a few 10's of milliseconds for large samples to study chemical kinetics.

For very small samples, such as those in high-pressure cells, we might expect to collect good-quality high-resolution data from samples of a few 10's of milligrams. The sample volume in the new 100 Kbar cell, at $\sim 4 \text{ mm}^3$, is large enough to allow very short counting times; this would mean that data could be collected as a function of temperature even at very high pressure!

7. New science on Dracula

7.1. High-pressure and extreme sample environments

High pressure is easier with X-ray diffraction because high fluxes, especially from synchrotrons, mean that very small samples can be used. Yet there are many problems where it would be useful to be able to use neutrons as well—for the same reasons that neutrons are needed for materials at zero pressure. Many electronic and magnetic properties depend on pressure, we need to understand why, and these are just the kind of problems where neutrons are most useful. Clearly, the maximum pressure that can be obtained with a cell still small enough to fit in a cryostat scales with the size of the sample, so high pressure means small samples and high flux diffractometers like Dracula. TOF diffractometers have some advantages for high pressure, but Dracula will also collect all d-spacings with different wavelengths over a limited scattering range.

7.2. *New materials and high-pressure synthesis*

New materials are usually only available as very small polycrystalline samples, and X-ray powder diffraction is often not sufficient to understand their structure. Examples are the high T_c superconductors, GMR and other magnetic materials. This is particularly true when new materials are synthesised under high pressure; yield volumes are small, and several samples must often be combined for neutron measurements, with the corresponding risk of inhomogeneity. A diffractometer with an order of magnitude increase in efficiency would be of great benefit in the search for new materials synthesised at high pressure.

7.3. *Isotope replacement*

Isotope replacement is a potentially powerful method for investigating the role of particular atoms in a structure, yet because of the cost of producing large samples, this technique is often restricted to deuteration. Yet isotope replacement has already demonstrated its interest for superconducting materials, where both the isotope effect predicted by BCS theory and the effect of magnetism on specific atoms, have been investigated. Dracula would make isotope replacement feasible for a wider range of problems, since much smaller samples would be required.

7.4. *Strongly absorbing elements*

One of the big advantages of neutrons over X-rays is their low absorption for most materials. Yet neutrons are strongly absorbed by a small number of rare earth elements whose compounds are increasingly interesting. For example, it is difficult to study magnetism in these materials, absorption of hydrogen etc., and it is not always feasible to use sufficiently energetic neutrons. This problem can be addressed by using very thin samples, but again a highly efficient neutron diffractometer is needed.

7.5. *In situ chemical kinetics*

Because they can penetrate relatively large chemical and electro-chemical cells, neutrons have long been of interest for the in situ study of chemical reactions. For example, the chemical reactions that occur in a full-size Li-MH battery can be studied as the cell is discharged and recharged. Intercalation experiments, especially those involving hydrogen or other light elements, are ideally studied with neutron diffraction. Classical examples include hydration of minerals, hydrogen storage materials, zeolites and clathrates, etc.

7.6. *Very fast reactions*

Many other chemical reactions are simply too fast for neutron diffraction. The order of magnitude increase in speed that would be possible with Dracula would open up

many new areas of chemical kinetics that cannot adequately be studied with X-rays. The explosive SHS reaction [5], occurring on a time scale of hundreds of milliseconds is a good example.

7.7. *Very weak magnetic order and polarised neutrons*

Magnetic order can sometimes be missed because of very weak superstructure peaks, or very small changes to peak intensity for ferromagnetic materials. A very high intensity machine like Dracula will mean that such small changes could be more easily and quickly observed. A polarising filter option, already tested for powder diffraction, is planned for the study of magnetic density.

7.8. *Texture*

A large 2D detector is ideal for the study of the texture and preferred orientation of materials, such as mineral and geological samples, as well as industrial components.

7.9. *Single crystal diffraction*

Finally, the large 2D detector of Dracula will be equally powerful for single crystal studies, where many reflections might be obtained simply by spinning the crystal. This is foreseen for the D19 large structure diffractometer. A machine like Dracula is needed for smaller structures.

8. Conclusions

The main disadvantage of neutron scattering is the low flux compared to X-ray diffraction. An order of magnitude gain in neutron flux would have a big impact on many problems in chemistry and physics, and open up whole new areas for neutron diffraction. Eventually we must build a very high flux neutron source like ESS. But it is clear that we could do more with the neutron sources we already have, which in the case of reactors provide high time averaged flux to the sample. Advanced detector technology has now made it possible to make far better use of already available neutrons for a relatively modest cost.

References

- [1] J.D. Jorgensen, D.E. Cox, A.W. Hewat, W.B. Yelon, *Nuc. Instru. Methods B* 12 (1985) 525–561.
- [2] C. Wilkinson, J.A. Cowan, D.A.A. Myles, F. Cipriani, G.J. McIntyre, *Neutron News* 13 (2002) 37–41.
- [3] B. Ouladdiaf, et al., this conference.
- [4] P. Convert, T. Hansen, A. Oed, J. Torregrossa, *Physica B* 241–243 (1998) 195–197.
- [5] D.P. Riley, E.H. Kisi, T.C. Hansen, A.W. Hewat, *J. American Ceramic Society* 85 (2002) 2417–2424.
- [6] E. Suard, A.W. Hewat, *Neutron News* 12 (2001) 30–33.
- [7] V.T. Forsyth, S.A. Mason, J.A.K. Howard, M.G. Davidson, W. Fuller, D.A.A. Myles, *Neutron News* 12 (2001) 20–25.
- [8] B. Guerard, et al., Little of this work would have been possible without the many contributions of the ILL Detector Service.